

S.3] Applications: order-finding and factoring

Phase estimation is useful! (S.2.1)

Recall: Suppose unitary operator U has eigenvector $|u\rangle$ with eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i \varphi}$ where φ unknown. Goal of phase est. is to estimate φ .

Algo: Quantum Phase Estimation

Input: (1) Black Box performing controlled U^j operation for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 (2) eigenstate $|u\rangle$ of U with eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i \varphi_u}$, and
 (3) $t = \lfloor n + \log(2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}) \rfloor$ qubits initialized to $|0\rangle$
 (depends on # of digits of accuracy, and with what probability our estimation will be successful)

Output: n -bit approximation of φ_u to φ_u .

Runtime: $O(t^2)$ operations + one call to U^j black box. Succeeds w/ prob. $\geq 1 - \epsilon$.

(1) $|0\rangle|u\rangle$ initialize state

(2) $\xrightarrow{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle|u\rangle}$ create superposition

(3) $\xrightarrow{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle U^j |u\rangle}$ apply black box

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} e^{2\pi i j \boxed{\psi_u}} |j\rangle |u\rangle$$

(4.) $\rightarrow |\tilde{\psi}_u\rangle |u\rangle$ apply inverse fourier transform

(5.) $\rightarrow |\tilde{\psi}_u\rangle$ measure first register

existing part is the applications:

Carrying on with 5.3 ..

Can use phase estimation for order-finding problem and for factoring problem. (They're equivalent)

Step Back for a moment. Why does this matter?

- Serious evidence that quantum more powerful than classical, and potentially credible case against Church-Turing thesis.
- Just intrinsically worthy problems anyway.
- Practically, can break RSA encryption

Order Finding: For positive $x, N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{x}{N} \in \mathbb{Q}$,

with no common factors, the problem is to find the order of x in $\mathbb{Z}_N^{(\text{ring})}$. In other words, what is the least positive r s.t. $x^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$?

Classical: Hard problem, requiring polynomial resources in the $O(L)$ bits needed to specify problem where $L = \lceil \log(N) \rceil$ is # of bits needed to specify N .

Ex. What's the order of 5 in \mathbb{Z}_{21} ? 5.5.5.5.5.5

Quantum: Just phase estimation algo with unitary operator:

$$U|xy\rangle = |\underline{xy \pmod{N}}\rangle$$

A little bit of work shows us that the states defined by

$$|u_s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \exp\left[\frac{-2\pi i sk}{r}\right] |x^k \pmod{N}\rangle,$$

for integer $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ are eigenstates of U , since

$$U|u_s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \exp\left[\frac{-2\pi i sk}{r}\right] |x^{k+1} \pmod{N}\rangle$$

$$= \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i s}{r}\right] |u_s\rangle \rightarrow \text{which can give us } r \text{ out of}$$

$\exp(2\pi i s/r)$ with minimal work from there,
(using phase estimation procedure)

To do that though, we have 2 requirements:

- 1.) Must efficiently implement controlled- U^j operation for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- 2.) Must efficiently prepare eigenstate $|u_s\rangle$ with nontrivial eigenvalue $\underline{\lambda_s}$

For 1.) \rightarrow can do "modular exponentiation" using $O(L^3)$ gates
(see pg 228 if interested)

For 2.) \rightarrow trickier, since preparing $|u_s\rangle$ requires we know r .
However, it must be that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |u_s\rangle = |1\rangle.$$

Then, we can use phase estimation with first register as

$\underbrace{2L+1 + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}) \rceil}_{\text{t}} \quad \text{and second register as just } |1\rangle,$
can estimate the phase φ \approx $\frac{s}{r}$ accurate to $2L+1$ bits w/
prob. $\geq |u_s\rangle / r$

This gives an estimate $\varphi \approx \frac{s}{r}$, but φ is just an estimate.

But we know that φ is rational. Thus, if we can compute nearest fraction to φ , we might obtain r .

Can do this with continued fractions algorithm:

So, continued fractions algo:

Goal is to describe real #'s using integers alone, using

expressions of the form $[a_0, \dots, a_m] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_m}}}$

Suppose we try

$$\frac{31}{13}.$$

Just split 'em up!

$$\frac{31}{13} = 2 + \frac{5}{13}$$

$$= 2 + \frac{1}{\frac{13}{5}}$$

$$= 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{3}{2}} = 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}}} = 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$= 2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}}}}.$$

$$L = \lceil \log N \rceil$$

\Rightarrow uses $O(L^3)$ operations

- $O(L)$ "split & invert", using $O(L^2)$ gates
for basic arithmetic.

Can the quantum order-finding algo fail?

No. It's complicated, but no. See e.g. $\boxed{229, 231}$ for the nitty-gritty details of it all.

At long last:

$$x^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \quad \text{what's } r?$$

Quantum Order Finding Algorithm:

In: (1) Black Box $U_{x, N}$ performing $|j\rangle |k\rangle \rightarrow |j\rangle |x^j k \pmod{N}\rangle$, for x co-prime to $\lfloor \log_2(N) \rfloor$.

(2) $t = \lfloor \frac{L}{2} + 1 + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}) \rceil \rceil$ qubits initialized to $|0\rangle$

(3) 1 qubit initialized to $|1\rangle$.

Out: The least integer $r > 0$ s.t. $x^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.

Runtime: $O(L^3)$ operations, succeeds w/ probability $\underline{\Omega}(1)$.

1.) $|0\rangle |1\rangle$ initial state.

2.) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle |1\rangle$ create superposition.

3.) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle |x^j \pmod{N}\rangle$ apply $U_{x, N}$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^t}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} e^{2\pi i s j / r} |j\rangle |u_s\rangle$$

4.) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |\tilde{s}/r\rangle |u_s\rangle$ apply inverse fourier transform

5.) $\rightarrow \underline{\tilde{s}/r}$ measure 1st register

6.) $\rightarrow \underline{r}$ measure 2nd register

Factoring: turns out to be equivalent to order-finding

Reduction:

- 1.) We can compute factor of N if we can find non-trivial $x \neq \pm 1 \pmod{N}$ solution to $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.

- 2.) randomly chosen y co-prime with N has order r (likely to be even) and s.t. $y^{r/2} \neq 1 \pmod{N}$ and thus $x \equiv y^{r/2} \pmod{N}$ is non-trivial sol'n to $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.

Reduction Algo:

In: composite number \underline{N}

Out: non-trivial factor of N .

Runtime: $O(\log N)^3$ operations, succeeds w/ probability $O(1)$

Algo:

- 1.) If N even, return 2
- 2.) If $N = a^b$ for $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 2$, return \underline{a}
- 3.) Randomly choose x in range 1 to $N-1$.
If $\text{GCD}(x, N) > 1$, return $\text{GCD}(x, N)$

- 4.) Use order-finding subroutine to find order r of $x \bmod N$
- 5.) if r is even and $x^{r/2} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ then compute
 $\gcd(x^{r/2}-1, N)$ and $\gcd(x^{r/2}+1, N)$. If one of these
is non-trivial factor, return it.
Else, algorithm fails.

(pt on pg 284)
if helpful

S.4 Additional Applications

Hidden Subgroup Problem - encompasses all known
'exponentially fast' applications of quantum Fourier transform.
Generalization of finding unknown period of a periodic
function, where structure of domain & range may be intricate.

Specific instances:

- ~ Period-finding of a 1-Dim. function
- ~ Discrete logarithms

Period-finding:

Suppose f is a periodic function producing a single bit as output s.t. $f(x+r) = f(x)$ for unknown $0 < r \leq L$, where $x, r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Given a quantum black box U performing $U|x\rangle|y\rangle \rightarrow |x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle$ (where \oplus denotes $+ \text{mod } 2$), how many black box queries and other operations needed to determine r ?

Algo that does it in one query with $O(L^2)$ operations otherwise.

Period finding Algo:

Inputs: (1) a black box performing operation $U|x\rangle|y\rangle \rightarrow |x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle$,
 (2) a state to store function evaluation, initialized to $|0\rangle$
 (3) $t = O(L + \log(1/\epsilon))$ qubits initialized to 0.

Out: The least integer $r > 0$ s.t. $f(x+r) = f(x)$

Runtime: One use of U , $O(L^2)$ operations. Succeeds w/ probability $O(1)$.

Procedure:

$$1. \left| 10 \dots 10 \right\rangle$$

initial state

$$2.) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^+}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^+-1} \left| x \right> \left| 10 \dots \right\rangle \quad \text{create superposition}$$

$$3.) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^+}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^+-1} \left| x \right> \left| f(x) \right\rangle \quad \text{apply } U.$$

$$\stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r} \cdot 2^+} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \sum_{x=0}^{2^+-1} e^{2\pi i l x / r} \left| x \right> \left| \hat{f}(l) \right\rangle$$

$$4.) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \left| \hat{l} \right> \left| \hat{f}(l) \right\rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{apply more Fourier} \\ \text{to 1st register.} \end{array}$$

$$5.) \rightarrow \left| \frac{1}{r} \right\rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{measure 1st register} \\ \text{at } \frac{1}{r} \end{array}$$

$$6.) \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) \text{ rational} \quad \frac{3}{13} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{apply cont'd fractions alg.} \end{array}$$

S. 4.2 Discrete logarithms

What happens when function is more complex?

Take $f(x_1, x_2) = \underline{a^{x_1+x_2}} \bmod N$, and find r s.t. $a^r \bmod N = 1$

- Periodic since $f(x_1 + l, x_2 - ls) = f(x_1, x_2)$
- Period is 2-tuple $(l, -ls)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Useful in cryptography
- Solvable mostly on query of a quantum blackbox U and $O(\log n)$ other operations.
- Algorithm is messy and complicated but takes exact same general form as before.

- 1.) Initialize 3 qubits to $|0\rangle$
- 2.) Create superposition with 2 of them
- 3.) Apply U (complicated) and find some nearly full equality for inverse fourier transform
- 4.) Apply inverse fourier transform to first two registers
- 5.) Measure first two registers
- 6.) Apply generalized cont'd fractions algo.

S.4.3 The Hidden Subgroups Problem

A pattern is emerging - if given a periodic function, even a complicated one, can use quantum algs like the above to efficiently determine their period. But not all periods of periodic functions can be determined.

General problem:

Let f be a function from finitely generated group G to a finite set X such that f is constant on the cosets of a subgroup K , and distinct on each coset. Given a quantum black box performing the unitary

$$U|g\rangle|h\rangle = |g\rangle|h + f(g)\rangle \quad \text{for } g \in G, h \in X, \text{ and } \oplus$$

the appropriate binary operation on X , find a generating set for K .

?

Takeaways of Chapter 5:

1) When $N = 2^n$, the quantum Fourier transform

$$|ij\rangle = |j_1, \dots, j_n\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{jk}{N}} |k\rangle$$

can be written

$$|ij\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{2^n} (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0 \cdot j_1} |1\rangle) (|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0 \cdot j_2 - j_1)} |1\rangle) \dots$$

$(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0 \cdot j_2 - j_1) + \dots + j_n} |1\rangle)$ + is implementable with $\Theta(n^2)$ gates.

2.) Phase Estimation: Let $|u\rangle$ be an eigenstate of operator U w/ eigenvalue $e^{2\pi i \varphi}$. Starting from $|0\rangle^{\otimes k}|u\rangle$ & given ability to perform U^{2^k} for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can obtain $|\psi\rangle|u\rangle$, an accurate estimation of φ . (Up to $\lceil \log(2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}) \rceil$ bits with probability $\geq 1 - \epsilon$.)

3.) Order Finding: Order x modulo N is least positive n s.t. $x^n \pmod{N} = 1$. computable in $\Theta(L^3)$ operations using Q.P.E. for L -bit integers x and N .

4.) Factoring: Prime factor of L -bit integer N can be found in $\Theta(L^3)$ operations by reducing problem to find order of random number x co-prime with N .

5.) Hidden Subgroup: Generalizes all of these fast quantum algos.